Batch, Stochastic and Mirror Gradient Descents

Gabriel Peyré





Mathematica Coffees

Huawei-FSMP joint seminars /mathematical-coffees.github.io

Organized by: Mérouane Debbah & Gabriel Peyré





Geodesics

Neuro-imaging



Patches



Optimization





nces

Paris



Parallel/Stochastic

Alexandre Allauzen, Paris-Sud. Pierre Alliez, INRIA. Guillaume Charpiat, INRIA. Emilie Chouzenoux, Paris-Est.

Sparsity

Nicolas Courty, IRISA. Laurent Cohen, CNRS Dauphine. Marco Cuturi, ENSAE. Julie Delon, Paris 5. Fabian Pedregosa, INRIA. Julien Tierny, CNRS and P6. Robin Ryder, Paris-Dauphine. Gael Varoquaux, INRIA.

Jalal Fadili, ENSICaen. Alexandre Gramfort, INRIA. Matthieu Kowalski, Supelec. Jean-Marie Mirebeau, CNRS,P-Sud.



Optimization Everywhere ...

Inverse problems: Observations $y = Ax_0 + w$.

Regularized recovery: $\min_{x} f(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} ||y - Ax||^2 + R(x).$

Optimization Everywhere ...

Inverse problems: Observations $y = Ax_0 + w$.

Regularized recovery: $\min_{x} f(x) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} ||y - Ax||^2 + R(x).$

Supervised learning: Observations: $(a_i, y_i)_i$, parametric model: g(x, a)

Regression: $y_i \approx g(x, a_i)$ $\ell(y, y') = |y - y'|^2$ Classification: $y_i \approx \theta(g(x, a_i))$ $\ell(y, y') = \log(1 + e^{-yy'})$ $\theta(u) = (1 + e^u)^{-1}$

Empirical risk minimization: $\min_{x} f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \ell(g(x, a_i), y_i)$

Optimization Everywhere ...

Inverse problems: Observations $y = Ax_0 + w$.

Regularized recovery: $\min_{x} f(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} ||y - Ax||^2 + R(x).$

Supervised learning: Observations: $(a_i, y_i)_i$, parametric model: g(x, a)

Regression: $y_i \approx g(x, a_i)$ $\ell(y, y') = |y - y'|^2$

Classification:
$$y_i \approx \theta(g(x, a_i))$$
 $\ell(y, y') = \log(1 + e^{-yy'})$
 $\theta(u) = (1 + e^u)^{-1}$

Empirical risk minimization: $\min_{x} f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \ell(g(x, a_i), y_i)$

 $\min_{x} f(x)$



Batch Gradient Descent

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k - \tau_k \nabla f(x_k) \\ \text{Hypotheses:} \quad \mu \text{Id}_n \leq \partial^2 f(x) \leq L \text{Id}_n \\ \text{strong convexity} \quad \text{smoothness} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Conditionning:} \\ \varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{L}{\mu} \leq 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ & U(x) \quad f(x) \quad T(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} f(x_0) + \langle \nabla f(x_0), x - x_0 \rangle \\ & U(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{L}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & V(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & V(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & \Rightarrow \|x - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{f(x_0) - f(x^*)}{\mu/2} \end{aligned}$$

Batch Gradient Descent

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k - \tau_k \nabla f(x_k) \\ \text{Hypotheses:} \quad \mu \text{Id}_n \preceq \partial^2 f(x) \preceq L \text{Id}_n \\ \text{strong convexity} \quad \text{smoothness} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Conditionning:} \\ \varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{L}{\mu} \leqslant 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ & U(x) \quad f(x) \\ V(x) \\ V(x) \\ U(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{L}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ V(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ & V(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & V(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} T(x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 \\ & \Rightarrow \|x - x^\star\|^2 \leqslant \frac{f(x_0) - f(x^\star)}{\mu/2} \\ \end{aligned}$$
Theorem: If $L < +\infty$, $0 < \tau < \frac{2}{L}$ $f(x_k) - f(x^\star) \leqslant \frac{C}{\ell + 1}$

If $\mu > 0, L < +\infty, 0 < \tau < \frac{2}{L}$ $\|x_k - x^*\| \le \rho^{\ell} \|x_0 - x^*\|$ $\rho = (1 + \varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} < 1$

Step size matters ...



Acceleration

Momentum "heavy ball"

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k$$

$$p_{k+1} = \mu_k p_k - \tau \begin{cases} \nabla f(x_k) & \text{Polyak} \\ \nabla f(x_k + \mu_k p_k) & \text{Nesterov} \end{cases}$$



Theorem: [Nesterov] For $\mu_k = \frac{k}{k+3}$, then $f(x_k) - f(x^*) = O(1/k^2)$

> \rightarrow "optimal" for first order schemes.

Generalization: Bregman Divergence



Generalization: Bregman Divergence



Locally Euclidean:

$$D_{\varphi}(x+\eta|x+\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial^2 \varphi(x)(\varepsilon-\eta), \, \varepsilon-\eta \rangle + o(\|\varepsilon-\eta\|^2)$$

"Rule of thumb:" any reasonnable Euclidean algorithm generalizes to Bregman divergences.

Example: Mirror Descent

Bregman divergence: $D_{\varphi}(x|y) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \varphi(x) - \varphi(y) - \langle x - y, \nabla \varphi(y) \rangle$

Mirror descent:
$$x_{k+1} = \underset{x \in \mathcal{X}}{\operatorname{argmin}} D_{\varphi}(x|x_k) + \tau \langle \nabla f(x_k), x \rangle$$

= $(\nabla \varphi)^{-1} (\nabla \varphi(x_k) - \tau \nabla f(x_k))$



Stochastic Gradient Descent

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)$$
$$\nabla f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_i(x)$$



Draw $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ uniformly. $x_{k+1} = x_k - \tau_k \nabla f_i(x_k)$ $f(x) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}}(f(x, \mathbf{z}))$ $\nabla f(x) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}}(\nabla F(x, \mathbf{z}))$



Draw $z \sim \mathbf{z}$ $x_{k+1} = x_k - \tau_k \nabla F(x, z)$

Stochastic Gradient Descent

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)$$

$$\nabla f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \nabla f_i(x)$$

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}}(f(x, \mathbf{z}))$$

$$\nabla f(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}}(\nabla F(x, \mathbf{z}))$$

Theorem: If
$$\mu > 0$$
 and $\|\nabla f_i(x)\| \leq C$, then for $\tau_k = \frac{1}{\mu(k+1)}$,
 $\mathbb{E}(\|x_k - x^\star\|^2) \leq \frac{R}{k+1}$ where $R \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \max(\|x_0 - x^\star\|^2, C^2/\mu^2)$

 $\tau_k \to 0$ to cancel gradient noise. No benefit from strong convexity. \longrightarrow Only useful when n is very large.

Simple Example



What's Next

Emilie Chouzenoux: stochastic optimization.





Fabian Pedregosa: parallel and distributed optimization.

